In the Pharmaceutical GMP Industry:

If Quality Is Everyone's Responsibility, Is It No One's Responsibility?

The phrase "Quality is everyone's responsibility" is often misinterpreted in the Pharmaceutical Inspection Co-operation Scheme – Good Manufacturing Practices (PIC/S GMP) pharmaceutical industry, leading to the belief that quality oversight is solely the responsibility of the Quality Assurance (QA) department. This article explores the critical role of QA professionals in fostering a collaborative quality culture, asserting that quality should be integral to every employee's responsibility. It emphasises management commitment in establishing effective Pharmaceutical Quality System (PQS) and encourages viewing QA as partners. By engaging teams across various functions, organisations can cultivate a unified commitment to quality and implement strategies for overcoming resistance and measuring success through quantifiable metrics.

Introduction

In the regulated pharmaceutical GMP industry, the phrase "Quality is everyone's responsibility" is often misinterpreted, leading to the belief that quality is solely the QA department's responsibility. This disconnect can foster neglect of quality oversight, with employees feeling their roles don't impact overall quality. This article addresses these misconceptions and highlights the vital role of QA professionals in fostering a culture of quality. It emphasises the need for management to promote quality actively and for all employees to recognise their contributions to product integrity and patient safety. By viewing quality as integral to every role, organisations can enhance their commitment to excellence and continuous improvement.

Edwards Deming famously stated, "Quality is everyone's responsibility."[1] While this sentiment is valid, it can easily be misinterpreted. If Deming's quote is considered in isolation, it risks falling into the familiar "everybody, somebody, nobody" trap, where individuals assume that someone else is accountable for quality. This leads to complacency and oversight. It is important to recognise that most employees do not come to work intending to perform poorly or resist improvement. When lapses occur, it is often due to a lack of awareness or clarity regarding their responsibilities.

To emphasise the significance of quality across the organisation, we must frame it as integral to every role and function, not just the responsibility of the QA department. This requires concerted communication to highlight that quality impacts all aspects of the organisation, from manufacturing to marketing, and that each employee plays a vital role in ensuring product integrity and patient safety.

What Is the Basis for Concern?

Management responsibilities have been a topic with regulatory agencies for quite some time, as evidenced by the various regulations and guidance we work within. For example:

- **PIC/S GMP defines:**

1. Senior management has the ultimate responsibility to ensure an effective PQS is in place, adequately resourced, and that roles, responsibilities, and authorities are defined, communicated, and implemented throughout the organisation. Senior management's leadership and active participation in the Pharmaceutical Quality System is essential. (PIC/S GMP PE 009-17 (Part I), Chapter 1, sections 1.5)
2. There should be periodic management review, with the involvement of senior management, of the operation of the Pharmaceutical Quality System to identify opportunities for continual improvement. (PIC/S GMP PE 009-17 (Part I), Chapter 1, sections 1.6)
3. A Quality Manual or equivalent documentation should be established and should contain a description of the quality management system including management responsibilities. (PIC/S GMP PE 009-17 (Part I), Chapter 1, sections 1.7)

- **EU (European Union) GMP** applies ultimate responsibility for quality and the PQS to senior management and assigns specific responsibilities for product and process quality to the heads of quality and production, along with the head of quality control (Eudralex V4, Chapters 2 and 6).

- **ICH (International Council for Harmonisation) Q10** defines senior management as having ultimate responsibility for ensuring an effective PQS is in place, emphasising that management responsibility is integral to a functioning pharmaceutical quality system.

- **ISO 9001:2015 specifies:**

1. Senior management must demonstrate leadership and commitment by communicating the importance of effective quality management and conforming with requirements. (Clause 5.1.1(f))
2. Top management must ensure that the quality policy is communicated, understood, and applied throughout the organisation. (Clause 5.2.2(b)
3. Top management must establish objectives and communicate them to relevant functions and levels. (Clause 6.2.1(f)

Bridging the Gap

Given these frameworks, it is evident that QA professionals must bridge the gap between the ideal of 'everyone's responsibility' and the practical implementation of quality in daily operations.

The Importance of Management Responsibilities:

The international guidelines underscore that senior management has ultimate responsibility for the effectiveness of the PQS. This leadership is critical in establishing a culture of quality that permeates the organisation. When senior management demonstrates commitment to quality by actively participating in quality initiatives, it sets a tone that encourages all employees to prioritise quality in their roles.

Engaging Teams Effectively:

The first step is to shift the perception of QA from enforcers to collaborators and educators. For instance, a pharmaceutical company could hold regular cross-departmental workshops where QA staff and

operational teams collaborate on quality initiatives.

In one workshop, the QA team trained on purified water sampling techniques, emphasising proper glove sanitation with alcohol to minimise contamination risks in the water samples. This adjustment enhanced test reliability and fostered a shared sense of responsibility among QC staff, empowering them to maintain product quality.

Empowering Employees:
When management communicates the importance of quality, as specified in ISO 9001:2015, it empowers employees to take ownership of their contributions. For instance, after introducing a quality dashboard displaying real-time metrics, employees on the pharmaceutical outer packaging lines took pride in their performance. This dashboard provided immediate feedback on key quality indicators, such as defect rates, adherence to specifications, and production efficiency.

The availability of real-time metrics allowed employees to monitor their performance continuously, fostering accountability and proactive problem-solving. For example, when defect rates increased, employees could swiftly identify issues and implement corrective actions, leading to a 20% reduction in errors over six months and promoting a culture of continuous improvement. Additionally, a team that regularly reviewed quality metrics identified specific areas for enhancement. By aligning departmental objectives with the organisation's quality goals, they built a shared commitment to quality that boosted overall productivity and morale. This collaborative environment encouraged employees to contribute suggestions based on real-time data, further enhancing engagement in maintaining high-quality standards.

Ongoing Leadership Involvement:
Periodic management reviews, as outlined in PIC/S GMP and EU GMP, should focus on compliance and opportunities for continuous improvement. For example, a generic drug manufacturing company established a regular review process that enabled quick identification of non-compliance trends, leading to proactive measures that enhanced overall quality.

The regular review process includes several key items:

- **Performance Metrics:** Assessing indicators like deviation rates, CAPA (Corrective and Preventive Action) statistics, and change control provides insights into quality performance and areas needing attention.
- **Product Complaint Handling:** Reviewing product complaints helps identify recurring issues and informs necessary corrective actions.
- **Audit Findings:** Analysing internal and external audit results helps identify systemic issues and evaluate the effectiveness of corrective actions.
- **Risk Assessments:** Evaluating potential risks allows management to prioritise areas for improvement and mitigate future issues.
- **Training and Development:** Reviewing training effectiveness ensures employees are equipped to meet quality standards and regulatory requirements.
- **Action Plans and Effectiveness Checks:** Tracking progress on action plans ensures accountability and follow-through on identified issues.

By emphasising management's role in fostering a culture of quality, we can bridge the gap between theory and practice, creating an environment where quality is genuinely everyone's responsibility, supported by strong leadership and collaboration.

Communication must be tailored to resonate with different teams and individuals. Here's how we can do this effectively:

- **Production Team:** When working with the Production team, QA can participate in process reviews and workshops, including Gemba walks to observe processes firsthand. This involvement helps QA identify potential sources of variation and implement controls to minimise risks to product quality. Additionally, QA can conduct CAPA effectiveness checks and safety assessments. Performance metrics such as yield rates, defect rates, and adherence to SOPs can be monitored to evaluate the effectiveness of quality initiatives and drive continuous improvement.[2]
- **Engineering Team:** In collaboration with the engineering team, QA provides essential input during the design and validation of equipment, ensuring it meets GMP requirements and performs effectively throughout its lifecycle. QA also oversees adherence to annual preventive maintenance checks and the validity of equipment qualifications. Key performance indicators (KPIs) like equipment downtime, validation success rates, and compliance with design specifications can track the effectiveness of engineering solutions in supporting quality objectives.
- **Quality Control Team:** QA should maintain a strong partnership with the quality control (QC) team to ensure testing protocols align with regulatory requirements and organisational standards. This includes conducting regular checks for computer system validation, data integrity, and security. Additionally, QA should review out-of-specification and out-of-trend results, managing investigations effectively. Performance metrics such as test accuracy, turnaround time for results, and non-conformance rates can assess the QC team's effectiveness in maintaining product quality.
- **Procurement Team:** In collaboration with the procurement team, QA can define quality specifications for materials and services, ensuring that all purchases meet organisational standards before reaching production. Key activities include supplier qualification and tracking performance metrics like on-time delivery and quality compliance. By providing training on quality requirements, QA empowers procurement professionals to make informed decisions. Metrics such as the percentage of compliant materials received and the rate of supplier-related quality issues can effectively measure procurement's contribution to quality objectives.

This approach enhances collaboration and fosters a unified commitment to quality across all departments, driving continuous improvement and compliance. By regularly monitoring performance metrics, teams can identify areas for enhancement and collaboratively pursue shared quality objectives.

Rather than enforcing compliance that breeds resistance, we should emphasise how specific quality practices prevent errors,

save time, improve profitability, and enhance patient safety. Communicating the rationale behind quality initiatives is crucial, making quality personal by showing its impact on both the final product and individual roles. This transforms the abstract notion of "everyone's responsibility" into concrete actions and attitudes.

Fostering a Quality Culture

A quality culture in the pharmaceutical industry refers to the collective mindset, values, attitudes, and behaviours within an organisation that prioritise and promote a commitment to quality. Here are key elements that contribute to fostering a strong quality culture:

1. **Leadership Commitment:** Top management must demonstrate leadership and commitment to quality by communicating its importance and setting clear expectations. They should allocate necessary resources and lead by example, inspiring all employees to prioritise quality and understand their roles in achieving these goals.

2. **Employee Engagement:** Engaged and empowered employees are vital for a strong quality culture. Encouraging open communication, involving employees in decision-making, and recognising quality contributions fosters accountability and a sense of ownership.

3. **Training and Education:** Comprehensive training is essential for equipping employees with the knowledge and skills needed to perform effectively. Training programs should emphasise quality principles, regulatory requirements, and GMP, ensuring employees understand the importance of quality and compliance, thereby fostering a culture of excellence within the organisation.

4. **Clear Quality Objectives:** By establishing SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound) quality objectives, organisations can ensure that everyone understands their contributions to quality improvement.[3] Regular communication and review of these objectives help maintain focus and accountability, fostering a culture of continuous improvement.

5. **Documentation and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs):** Clear documentation and SOPs are vital for ensuring consistency in processes and adherence to quality standards.[4] They provide a structured framework for knowledge transfer among employees, maintaining continuity in operations. This consistency enhances efficiency and supports compliance and quality assurance across the organisation.

6. **Risk-Based Thinking:** Adopting risk-based thinking fosters a proactive approach to quality management, promoting a strong quality culture. By encouraging employees to identify and address potential risks, organisations empower them to take ownership of quality. This mindset strengthens overall quality performance and enhances resilience against challenges.

7. **Continuous Improvement:** Emphasising continuous improvement is vital for sustaining a strong quality culture. By encouraging employees to identify enhancement areas, organisations create an environment that values learning and innovation. This focus boosts quality performance and motivates employees to actively contribute to the organisation's success.

8. **Collaboration and Communication:** Effective cross-functional collaboration and communication are essential for enhancing quality outcomes. By sharing knowledge and best practices, organisations leverage diverse perspectives, fostering a unified commitment to quality and ensuring all teams work towards common goals and continuous improvement.

9. **Audits and Inspections:** Regular internal audits and external inspections are vital for ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements. These assessments verify adherence to standards and identify improvement areas. By systematically evaluating processes, organisations can enhance their quality management systems and effectively drive continuous improvement initiatives.[5]

10. **Customer Focus:** Placing the customer at the centre of quality efforts is essential for meeting their needs and expectations. This customer-centric approach fosters a quality culture that prioritises satisfaction and loyalty. By actively seeking and responding to feedback, organisations can continuously enhance their products and services, ensuring lasting success.

Hypothetical Scenario: Building a Quality Culture

A pharmaceutical company implemented a comprehensive training program on quality principles, encouraging employees to share experiences related to quality issues. One department identified a recurring problem with a supplier's materials. By collaborating with Quality Control and Procurement, they established stricter inspections for these suppliers. This initiative significantly reduced defects and improved product reliability, with new procedures integrated into the relevant incoming material sampling and inspection SOPs.

Measuring Success

To gauge the effectiveness of our shift towards a more collaborative approach to quality, we need to establish clear, quantifiable metrics that can provide insights into our progress and impact. Here are some key areas to focus on:

- **Reduction in Deviations and CAPAs:** Tracking deviations and Corrective and Preventive Actions (CAPAs) over time can indicate the effectiveness of quality management practices. A significant reduction suggests successful implementation of proactive measures and adherence to protocols. Regularly reviewing these metrics in team meetings reinforces accountability and highlights areas for ongoing improvement.

- **Improved Process Capability:** Measuring process capability indices (like Cp and Cpk) allows us to assess how well our processes meet specifications. An increase in these indices indicates greater stability and capability, leading to higher quality outputs. This can be complemented by Six Sigma methodologies to set improvement targets and track progress.[6]

- **Increased Employee Engagement in Quality Initiatives:** Employee engagement is crucial for fostering a quality culture. We can measure engagement through surveys, participation in quality training sessions, and involvement in quality improvement projects. High engagement levels often correlate with improved morale and a stronger commitment to quality objectives. Celebrating employee contributions to quality initiatives further enhances this engagement.

- **Positive Feedback from Other Departments:** Gathering feedback from various

departments about QA's collaborative approach provides valuable qualitative insights. Surveys or informal feedback sessions can assess perceptions of QA's support and involvement. Positive feedback indicates that QA is effectively partnering with other teams and contributing to a shared quality vision.

- **Performance Metrics Specific to Each Team:** Each department should have specific performance metrics related to quality that can be monitored, including supplier performance in procurement, equipment downtime in engineering, and test accuracy in quality control. Regularly reviewing these metrics drives accountability and fosters continuous improvement within each team.

- **Timeliness of Issue Resolution:** Tracking the speed of issue identification and resolution provides insights into the effectiveness of our collaborative efforts. A decrease in resolution times for CAPAs and other quality-related issues indicates that teams are effectively working together to address problems.[7]

- **Training and Development Outcomes:** Measuring the effectiveness of quality training programs ensures that employees have the necessary knowledge and skills. Pre- and post-training assessments provide insights into knowledge gains, while tracking the application of training in daily operations highlights its practical impact.

Real-World Example: Measuring Success

A pharmaceutical company that implemented employee engagement surveys found that departments with higher engagement scores reported fewer deviations. For instance, after introducing a comprehensive quality training initiative including workshops, hands-on sessions, and interactive e-learning – one department saw a 30% decrease in errors within three months. The initiative focused on key quality principles like GMP, risk management, and documentation standards. Employees engaged in case studies and role-playing exercises, enhancing their understanding of how their work impacts product quality. This direct engagement demonstrated a strong correlation between employee engagement, quality training, and improved quality outcomes.

Overcoming Resistance

Individuals or teams may initially resist this shift due to concerns about changes to established processes or fears of increased scrutiny. To overcome this resistance, we can take several proactive steps:

- **Demonstrate Value Through Small Wins:** Highlighting small successes can build momentum for broader change. For example, if a collaborative project results in reduced cycle times or improved quality metrics, sharing these achievements with the organisation illustrates the tangible benefits of collaboration.

- **Engage Stakeholders Early:** Engaging stakeholders early in the process is crucial for alleviating concerns and fostering buy-in.[8] By soliciting input during the planning stages, organisations can ensure that initiatives address specific team needs. This collaborative approach enhances commitment and leads to more successful, relevant outcomes.

- **Communicate Openly and Frequently:** Open and frequent communication about the goals of quality initiatives is essential for fostering engagement and collaboration. Regular updates and success stories keep everyone informed, reinforcing the benefits of teamwork. This transparency builds trust and motivates employees to actively participate in quality improvement efforts.

- **Provide Support and Resources:** Offering training and necessary tools can ease the transition and empower teams to collaborate effectively. By equipping employees with the right resources, organisations facilitate smoother integration of changes, enhancing overall productivity and quality outcomes.

- **Celebrate Collaborative Efforts:** Recognising and rewarding teams and individuals who embrace collaborative quality practices is essential for reinforcing desired behaviours.[9] This recognition fosters a culture of quality, motivating others to adopt similar practices. By celebrating successes, organisations encourage ongoing

commitment to quality improvement and collaboration.

Hypothetical Scenario: Overcoming Resistance

In a pharmaceutical company facing resistance to a revised quality control procedure, management involved employees in the development process and provided hands-on training sessions, which reduced resistance. Employees were encouraged to share concerns and suggestions during pilot implementations. After several months, the revised procedure improved documentation accuracy and enhanced workflow efficiency, leading to better compliance with regulatory standards. This collaborative approach fostered a sense of ownership among employees, ensuring a smoother transition to the new practices.

Final Thoughts

Reflecting on the preceding discussion, consider the following question that often arises among pharmaceutical professionals: Who is ultimately responsible for quality and the pharmaceutical quality system?

The options are:

- **Option A:** All personnel in the company
- **Option B:** Quality Assurance Department
- **Option C:** Senior Management within the organisation

This question examines shared responsibility for quality within the organisation. Recognising quality as a collective effort is vital for fostering commitment at all levels. Senior management should position Quality Assurance professionals as collaborators and educators, engaging teams and demonstrating the value of quality through measurable outcomes. Ultimately, we must cultivate a culture where quality is a core value integrated into all aspects of our operations, embraced by every employee.

Conclusion

To bridge the gap between the ideal of "everyone's responsibility" and practical implementation, senior management must engage with teams, empower employees, and establish clear quality objectives. The notion that “it is no one's responsibility” is misleading; this "everybody, somebody, nobody" trap leads to assumptions about accountability. Measuring success through quantifiable metrics will help track progress and reinforce accountability. Ultimately, cultivating a shared commitment to quality is essential for ensuring product integrity, enhancing patient safety, and achieving excellence in the pharmaceutical industry.

REFERENCES

1. Institute for Healthcare Improvement. Quality Is Everyone’s Responsibility [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://www.ihi.org/insights/quality-everyones-responsibility
2. Tambare P, Meshram C, Lee C-C, Ramteke R, Imoize A. Performance measurement system and quality management in data-driven Industry 4.0: a review. Sensors. 2021;22(1):24. doi: 10.3390/s22010224.
3. Setting and applying SMART objectives | CQI |IRCA [Internet]. Available from: https://www.quality.org/knowledge/applying-smart-objectives
4. The critical role of standard Operating procedures (SOPs) in the pharmaceutical industry [Internet]. 2024. Available from: https://speach.me/blog/the-critical-role-of-standard-operating-procedures-sops-in-the-pharmaceutical-industry
5. Hut-Mossel L, Ahaus K, Welker G, Gans R. Understanding how & why audits work in improving the quality of hospital care: A systematic realist review. PLoS ONE [Internet]. 2021 Mar 31;16(3):e0248677. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248677
6. Senvar O, Toz H. Process capability and six Sigma methodology including fuzzy and lean approaches. In: Sciyo eBooks [Internet]. 2010. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5772/10389
7. Nour, Reham Osama. Good Capa Practice - A Six Sigma Approach To Reduce Cost Of Non-Compliance. 2018. Open Access Theses. 1431. https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/open_access_theses/1431
8. Section 2: Engaging stakeholders in a care management program [Internet]. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Available from: https://www.ahrq.gov/patient-safety/settings/long-term-care/resource/hcbs/medicaidmgmt/mm2.html
9. Jerab DA, Mabrouk T. The role of leadership in changing organizational culture. SSRN Electronic Journal [Internet]. 2023 Jan 1; Available from: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4574324

Markus Lung Chi-ho

Markus Lung Chi-ho (MBA, BPharm., BPharm(ChinMed)), is a registered pharmacist and qualified person in Hong Kong. As the General Manager at Vita Green Pharmaceutical (HK) Limited, he oversees full portfolio management, strategic operational management, quality and regulatory compliance, and technical optimisation of pharmaceutical and nutraceutical manufacturing plants. Markus brings nearly 30 years of experience in the traditional Chinese medicine, nutraceutical and pharmaceutical industry. He is a Chartered Fellow of the Chartered Management Institute, a Fellow Chartered Chemist of the Royal Society of Chemistry and a Fellow of the Hong Kong College of Pharmacy Practice. His extensive expertise contributes to advancing quality standards and operational excellence in the sector.